

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,508	06/30/2003		William P. Addiego	SP03-070	2155
22928	7590	03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
CORNING INCORPORATED NGUYEN, CAM N					, CAM N
SP-TI-3-1	N. 1.40			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CORNING,	NY 148	31		1754	
				1,51	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

প			
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/611,508	ADDIEGO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam N. Nguyen	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection wh	labarra la latas da
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to the compared term adjustment.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. bliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of filed within two month	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·	Almondo Clada a a a a dua a	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	nowabie ii submitted in a separate,	umery med amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		II be entered and an e	explanation of
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the same reasons as set forth in the Final office action. Further, applicants' remarks filed on 2/06/06 has been fully reconsidered, but not deemed persuasive because the composition disclosed by the Davies reference does not appear to patentably distinguishing from the supported catalyst composition of the instant claims. While the reference does not state that the composition is a "catalyst" as applicants argued, it is considered the same composition would be expected and inherently exhibit the same catalytic activity as the claimed composition.

CAM N. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINED

> AU1754 3/24/06